Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2 DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

ON DECLASSIFICATION/RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS OF FILE

7 FEB 1961

SECRET

MEMORANTUM

From: Director of Maval Intelligence.
To: Director of Central Intelligence.
(Attn:

25X1A

Subj: EIE-29: Yugoslavia, Contributions to.

Review of this document by CIA has determined that

CFA has no objection to declare

It contains information of CFA
inforest that must remain
classified at TS S C
Authority: HR 78-2

Bate 24 Feb - 8 Reviewer - 5

Ref: (a) GIA Asst. Director of Maticani Estimates memo dated 2 Feb 1951, same subject.

1. The following information is submitted as pertinent to the indicated sections of terms of reference:

II - D. Military Vulnerability

Reliability of the Mary to present Ingoslay regime.

The Engeslay Navy is directly subordinate to the Minister of National Defense under Chief of Staff Colonal General Popovic. At present, the Navy may be regarded as merely a component of and subordinate to the Army.

The Ingoslav naval forces are considered to be reliable to the present regime for the following reasons:

- (1) The Eugoslav Navy is a comparatively small force and as a result it is subject to close supervision by the security forces.
- (2) less than 5% of the officer personnal are pre-war Royal Ingoslav mayal officers retained on the strength of their experience; the remainder are former petty officers of the former Royal Mayy, and partisan officers who received their commissioned rank for war service and for their activities with the Communist Party. The former Royal Mayal officers on active duty are looked upon with suspicion and they are under close surveillance. Consequently, political legalty rather than professional qualification is a matter of prime interest in the post-war Tugoslav Navy, and this is particularly true in selecting new officers.
 - III. Seriet and satellite economic, political and military pressures assist furnitaria in 1951.

Effect of these pressures on Tecoslar Navy

It is expected that the USSR and her satellites will continue

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

- CB - K



Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

Up-322H/ret Ser 32H/001124 7 FEB 1951

SEMINA

to exert political, economic and military pressures against Ingoslavia during 1951. The Ingoslav Mavy unquestionably suffered from the economic blockade imposed by the Cominform countries. As a result the Ingoslav leaders had to turn to the West in order to import the necessary fuel and propulsion muchinery for the maintenance and construction of neval vessels. At present, due to lack of foreign exchange, the Ingoslav Mavy is handisapped to some extent. However, it is full that if Tito asks for spare perts, oil and additional propulsion machinery, the Nest will probably furnish such aid. Provided military assistance is forthcoming, it is estimated that the continuation of the Cominform economic blockade will not further hamper the Ingoslav Naval Forces.

IV. Can the USSR and Satellites destroy the Tito regime by invasion in 1951?

Berral Investor

- A. Under no circumstances will Soviet naval action alone play a paramount role in bringing about the downfall of the Tite regime. It is to be noted, however, that access to the Mediterranean for the Soviet Float would probably mean a considerable reduction of Western aid by sea to Yugoslavia.
- B. At present the capabilities of satellite neval forces against Yugoslavia are considered negligible.
 - C. Mavel capabilities for resisting invasion.
 - (1) Yugoslav Maval Units
 - A Geestal Destroyers good condition operational
 - 2 Old Patrol Craft good condition operational
 - 3 Coastal Submarines 2 operational 1 non-operational
 - 20 Motor Torpedo Boats (at least) all operational
 - 7 Torpedo Boats (ex-V.S.)
 - 16 Kinesweepers
 - 1 Timelayer

Plus several patrol craft, auxiliary vessels and numerous landing craft.

1 DD (Building - "SPLIT") - 70% complete

2 GBD's (being reconstructed) - 60% complete - small The combat efficienty of the Yagoslav Navy is considered to be pour.

Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

3p-322H/ret Ser 3281/001124

7 THE 1951

as cut

- (2) Long term policy envisages an offensive-defensive system based on the employment of small coastal destroyers, motor torpedo boats and coastal submarines. In 1951 the uncompleted vessels listed in paragraph C-(1) could become operational if Western aid is given. The number of PT boats could also be increased coasiderably with the help of the West.
- (3) Yugoslav naval forces are empable of successfully opposing any satellite naval invasion; however, against a determined Soviet naval attack, Ingoslavia's naval resistance would be negligible (assuming Soviet naval forces have access to the Mediterranean).
 - V. Is direct attack upon Iuroslavia by USSE or Satallites likely in 1951?

Nevel Attack

- A. So indications.
- B. Reasons.
 - (1) For an attack:
 - a. To gain access to the Mediterranean Sea.
 - b. To establish naval operating bases in the strategic and well protected coast and islands of the Dalmatian coast.
 - (2) Against an attack:
 - a. The Soviet Union Haval Forces do not presently have access to the Mediterranean.
 - b. A ferced passage of the Turkish Straits by Russian naval units would have serious international consequences.

R. H. RUDGEPS, by direction.

2